Fuel 117 (2014) 1174-1180

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Fuel

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fuel

Effect of catalysts on the reactivity and structure evolution of char in petroleum coke steam gasification



State Key Laboratory of Coal Combustion, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 1037 LuoYu Road, Wuhan 430074, China

HIGHLIGHTS

• The effect of catalysts on petroleum coke reactivity in steam gasification was tested.

• Raman spectra and X-ray spectra analyzed the evolution of aromatic and crystallite structure of petroleum coke.

• The mechanism of catalytic gasification of petroleum coke was explored in depth.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 March 2013 Received in revised form 15 August 2013 Accepted 23 August 2013 Available online 6 September 2013

Keywords: Petroleum coke Catalytic gasification Raman XRD

ABSTRACT

Petroleum coke was gasified using non-isothermal thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). The catalytic effects of FeCl₃, CaCl₂, KCl, K₂CO₃, K₂SO₄, KAC and KNO₃ were studied. It was found that the gasification of petroleum coke was inefficient at temperature <1000 °C. However, with the addition of catalysts, the efficiency greatly improved. In particular, with the addition of K₂CO₃, gasification was completed quickly in 10 min and the final temperature was about 900 °C. To further uncover the catalytic mechanism, the structures of char samples at various conversions were investigated with Raman spectra (Raman) and X-ray diffractometry (XRD). The Raman spectra showed that with K₂CO₃ catalyst, the formation of active intermediates C(O) and M–C–O were enhanced by the relatively small aromatic ring systems with 3–5 fused benzene rings, alkyl–aryl C–C structures and methyl carbon dangling onto an aromatic ring. K₂CO₃ could stimulate the crackdown of big aromatic ring systems into small aromatic ring systems. Thereby, the addition of K₂CO₃ could increase the steam gasification rate of petroleum coke. XRD analysis indicated that with char conversion increasing, char structure became more ordered with a large amount of aromatic ring formed in original samples, while the degree of graphitization was lowered with K₂CO₃ addition, which is favorable for char gasification.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Petroleum coke is a byproduct of crude oil refining. In 2011, nearly 17 million tons of petroleum coke were produced, a 14.65% increase over the previous year [1]. The efficient use of petroleum coke for energy resource is strongly encouraged. Gasification is a promising technology and an attractive option, since it provides high quality fuel gases [2,3]. Particularly, steam gasification is an important technology of producing H₂-rich gas product from petroleum coke [3]. In order to obtain H₂-rich gas, high reactivity and high conversion of char are essential. The char conversion directly depends on the reactivity of char with gasifying agents (H₂O, CO₂ etc.). However, low reactivity remains an important obstacle for utilizing petroleum coke through gasification, due to the compactness of carbon structure, low volatile and low ash

content [4,5]. Therefore, it is critical to improve the gasification reactivity of petroleum coke.

Many researchers have shown that gasification can be greatly enhanced by various metal compound catalysts (K, Na, Ca, Mg, Ba, Fe, Ni, etc.) [6–11]. Meanwhile, K-based catalysts could increase hydrogen production from steam gasification of petroleum coke [12,13]. It can be observed that the addition of catalysts, such as alkali (K), alkaline earth (Ca) and transition metal (Fe) can significantly improve the gasification reactivity of petroleum coke. Therefore, it is important to study the effects of various catalysts on steam gasification of petroleum coke.

Some researchers have recently reported the catalytic mechanism of the gasification reaction. They believed that there were some active intermediates in the gasification process, such as C(O) (active intermediates of carbon matrix) and M–C–O (active intermediates of carbon matrix with catalyst) [12,14–16]. The C(O) and M–C–O intermediates are active sites which react with the gasification agent such as steam, oxygen and/or carbon dioxide. Therefore, the catalysts increase the concentration of active intermediates





^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 2787542417; fax: +86 2787545526. *E-mail address*: yhping2002@163.com (H. Yang).

^{0016-2361/\$ -} see front matter @ 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2013.08.066

(e.g. M–C–O intermediates) and enhance the gasification reactivity significantly. The change in carbonaceous structure is one of the key factors that affect the rate of gasification. However, the structure evolution of carbon matrix during steam gasification of coke is not clear yet.

Raman and XRD spectroscopy are the most powerful techniques for evaluating the structural features of carbonaceous materials. Oboirien, et al. [17] investigated the evolution of char properties during coal gasification with Raman and XRD and found that there was an increase in reordering of the amorphous carbon after gasification. While no significant growth of the crystalline component for Duhva and Matla chars was observed, the crystalline component was found to grow in Grootegeluk coal char. Cetin, et al. [18] studied the effects of pressure on the gasification reactivity of biomass char with XRD and found that the difference in gasification reactivity under different total pressures was mainly due to graphitization of biomass char structure at higher pressures. Li, et al. [19–23] introduced Gaussian peaking regression to analyze Raman spectra, and investigated semi-quantitatively the evolution of lignite and biomass structure with Na and K addition.

In this study, the evolution property of char structure during steam gasification of petroleum coke was investigated with Raman spectra combined with X-ray diffraction (XRD), and the influence of catalysts (e.g. FeCl₃, CaCl₂, KCl, KCO₃, K₂SO₄, KNO₃, CH₃COOK (KAC)) on the properties of coke structure was analyzed. Furthermore, the mechanism of catalytic gasification of petroleum coke was explored in depth.

2. Experiment materials and methodology

2.1. Experimental materials

A sample of petroleum coke was obtained from the Shanghai Jinshan Petroleum Co., Ltd. in China. The sample was ground and sieved to collect the 0.25–0.5 mm fraction for experimental trials. The properties of Jinshan petroleum coke are summarized in Table 1. Compared to coal, Jinshan Petroleum coke is very clean, with trace ash content (<1%). However, it shows high fixed carbon, but low volatile content, indicating that the coke is too thermal stable for gasification. Furthermore, the high content of sulphur might be a critical issue during petroleum coke utilization and needs to be considered in near future. The ash component was analyzed with an X-fluorescence probe (XRF) and the results are listed in Table 2 on oxide basis. It is mainly SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O CaO with some K and Mg, and other trace metals.

Alkali (K), alkaline earth (Ca) and transition metal (Fe) contained compounds were introduced as catalysts in petroleum coke steam gasification. FeCl₃, CaCl₂, KCl, K₂CO₃, K₂SO₄, KNO₃ and KAC were bought with analytical purity. They were mixed with petroleum coke particles through wet impregnation in aqueous solution separately, and the ratio of metal/carbon was 5 wt%. The mixture was stirred for 12 h at 30 °C, and then stirred for 12 h at 110 °C.

 Table 1

 Properties of Jinshan petroleum coke (wt%, db).

T-1-1- 0

	Proximate analysis					Ultimate analysis			
JS	M	A	V	FC	C	H	N	S	0
	1.36	0.20	9.30	89.14	87.67	3.56	1.37	5.40	0.44

Table 2				
Ash composition of	Jinshan p	etroleum	coke (wt%).

	SiO ₂	Al_2O_3	Fe_2O_3	TiO ₂	CaO	MgO	NaO	K_2O	MnO_2	SO_3
JS	43.76	27.04	11.82	0.57	11.65	1.65	0.72	2.04	0.01	0.20

Afterwards, it was dried for 24 h at 105 °C, and stored in a wellsealed container before analysis.

2.2. Experimental method

2.2.1. Gasification reactivity

Steam gasification reactivity was evaluated in a STA 449F3 TGA (alumina sample crucibles (445.213) 3.4 ml). The gasification procedure was elaborated as follows: The sample (around 10 mg) was heated in a 50 vol.% N₂ and 50 vol.% H₂O (total 100 ml/min) stream up to 1000 °C at 10 °C/min, and kept isothermal at the final temperature for 30 min.

The specific reactivity (R) and the conversion fraction (X) of char were calculated with following equations:

$$R = -\frac{dm}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{m - m_{\rm ash}} \right) \tag{1}$$

$$X = 1 - \frac{m - m_{ash}}{m_0 - m_{ash}} \tag{2}$$

where *m* is the instantaneous sample mass, m_0 is the initial mass, and m_{ash} is the sample ash content.

2.2.2. Char structure measurement

The raw sample and the sample with K_2CO_3 addition were used to investigate the char structure evolution in steam gasification. The sample (1 g) were gasified with 50 vol.% steam and 50 vol.% nitrogen (flow rate 900 ml/min) at 900 °C in fixed bed reactor (diameter 30 mm), and chars with various carbon conversions were collected.

The Raman of solid char was recorded using a Bruker VERTEX FT-IR/Raman spectrometer with a back-scattered configuration at room temperature and a Nd:YAG laser at 1064 nm as its light source. The laser power was 254 mW. An InGaAs detector was used, and each spectrum represents 1000 scans. The spectral resolution was 4 cm⁻¹. To minimize thermal emission, char particles were mixed with potassium bromide (KBr) powder with a ratio of 1:100.

Crystal structure was characterized by XRD (PANalytical B.V X'Pert PRO). 35 kV and 30 mA copper K (Cu K) radiation was used to scan over the angular 2 range of 5–85°. Microcrystalline sizes of char prepared at different conversions were calculated using the Bragg and Scherer equations [24].

All runs are repeated at least three times, the reproducibility of experimental data was very good with error below 5%. The data for the solid char are average values of three measurements.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalytic gasification properties of petroleum coke

The conversion of petroleum coke steam gasification is shown in Fig. 1. The profile of specific gasification reactivity of different carbon conversion is shown in Fig. 2. Typical parameters are listed in Table 3. From Fig. 1, the thermal stability of Jinshan petroleum coke is very high, with almost no conversion occurring at temperatures lower than 600 °C. Beyond that, the conversion increased slowly with temperature increasing (600–900 °C), which might be mainly due to the release of volatiles. With temperature increasing further (>900 °C), the conversion was enhanced greatly as steam gasification of char occurred. 900 °C was the lowest temperature for the application of petroleum coke through steam gasification without catalysts. The gasification rate increased gradually with temperature increasing and reached the maximum gasification reactivity rate 0.025 min⁻¹, however it is quite low



Fig. 1. TG curves corresponding to non-isothermal steam gasification. (a) different cation and (b) different anion.

compared with that of coal gasification [20]. This indicates that the petroleum coke was difficult to gasify, and the industrial non-catalytic gasification of petroleum coke generally requires temperature over 1000 °C [6,7,12]. Relative to non-catalytic gasification, the gasification rate increases with the increase of conversion and then decreases after reaching a maximum rate when the carbon conversion is about 0.3. Zou, et al. [25] studied the kinetic characteristics of petroleum coke–CO₂ gasification, and found that the poor initial pore structure of petroleum coke was the main cause of the occurrence of the maximum gasification rate.

With the addition of chloride catalyst, it can be observed that devolatilization and gasification occured at a lower temperature (<900 °C) (Fig. 1(a)), and the reaction rate also increased clearly (Fig. 2(a)). However, there were some differences among KCl, CaCl₂ and FeCl₃ in terms of catalytic effects. Firstly, KCl and FeCl₃ could accelerate the devolatilization, but CaCl₂ could not. In addition, the devolatilization occurred at lower temperature with FeCl₃ catalyst, but the reaction rate of FeCl₃ was lower than that of KCl. Thirdly, the addition of KCl can enhance gasification reactivity more significantly than the addition of CaCl₂ or FeCl₃ (Fig. 1(a)). The addition of KCl enabled the petroleum coke to react with steam vigorously, even at lower temperature, and the conversion of KCl sample was completed before the temperature reached 950 °C. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the gasification rate of KCl was about 4-5 times that of the CaCl₂ and FeCl₃ samples. This suggested that catalytic gasification could effectively lower the gasification temperature. However, catalytic gasification occurred slowly when the temperature was less than 750 °C, suggesting a significant change in the effect of the gasification temperature on catalysts activity [12,13,26].



Fig. 2. Specific reactivity rate versus carbon conversion for steam gasification. (a) different cation and (b) different anion.

 Table 3

 Characteristic parameters of Petroleum coke steam gasification.

Catalytic and non-catalytic	T _{max} °C	R _{max} min ⁻¹	C _{max}
Original sample	1000	0.0248	0.314
KCl	882	0.0966	0.745
K ₂ SO ₄	932	0.0867	0.462
K ₂ CO ₃	892	0.0594	0.551
KNO3	890	0.0572	0.429
KAC	921	0.05	0.463
FeCl ₃	997	0.0293	0.399
CaCl ₂	998	0.0284	0.465

 T_{max} : The temperature corresponding to the maximum reaction rate.

*R*_{max}: The maximum reaction rate.

 C_{\max} : Char conversion corresponding to the maximum reaction rate.

As shown in Fig. 1(b), as all K-based catalysts displayed significant catalytic effects on petroleum coke pyrolysis and gasification, all samples with K-based catalysts complete reaction before 1000 °C. However, there were some differences among these catalysts in terms of catalytic effects in the steam gasification reactions. During pyrolysis process, the catalytic effects of K-based materials may be ordered: $K_2CO_3 < K_2SO_4 < KAC < KNO_3 < KCI$. The catalytic effects of the K-based materials for char gasification may be ordered: $KAC < K_2SO_4 < K_2CO_3 < KNO_3 < KCI$. Lang [27] investigated the anion effect in alkali catalyzed steam gasification of coal chars, and found that alkali salts of weak acids were good gasification catalysts, while those of strong acids were poor. Some anions could compete with carbon material for the alkali cations and thus inhibit the formation of an alkali-carbon complex (M–O–C).

In Fig. 2(b), the instant mass gasification rate for both non-catalytic and catalytic gasification increased during carbon gasification. When the carbon conversion was between 0.2 and 0.6, the catalytic gasification rate rose continuously. On the other hand, when the carbon conversion was 0.3, the non-catalytic gasification rate started to decrease clearly. As char gasification was completed, the instant mass gasification rates for both cases decreased rapidly. Gasification rates differ greatly for various catalysts. For example, the maximum rates for KCl and K₂SO₄ samples were higher than for the other samples. The KCl sample reached the maximum gasification rate at around x = 0.75, whereas the samples of K₂SO₄, K₂CO₃ and KNO₃ reached the maximum at about 0.5 (Table 3).

Since the volatile matter content in petroleum coke is very low, the conversion of pyrolysis is guite limited for the whole gasification process. Therefore, char gasification is the key step to produce the synthesis gas in the steam gasification of petroleum coke. When the carbon conversion was lower than 0.2, the catalytic and non-catalytic gasification rates were similar. Some researchers [12,28] showed that the formation of active intermediates from char sample and gasifying agent was necessary for gasification to occur. Therefore, the contact area between char and steam was critical for gasification rates; however, the structure of raw petroleum coke is compact, without rich pores. An increase in gasification rate was attributed to an increase in the concentration of the intermediate (C(O)) for both non-catalytic and catalytic gasification [13,26]. When catalyst-coke mixture was heated, the metal cations were combined with the edge C atom of char surface to form the intermediate M-O-C (where M is a metal) in the steam atmosphere. Meanwhile, the distribution of the electron cloud in C atom of char surface was changed with the structure of M-O-C. Consequently, the intensity of C-C was weakened. As a result, the concentration of the intermediate (C(0)) and (M-C-0)increased rapidly, leading to a rapid increase in the gasification rate [12,13]. However, in the end, when gasification is almost finished, the instant gasification rates for both cases decreased rapidly.

3.2. Char structure analysis

3.2.1. Raman analysis

Fig. 3 shows a typical Raman spectrum of char from steam gasification of petroleum coke. The spectrum is similar to that of brown coal, but quite different from that of mallee wood [19,21– 23]. In all Raman spectra the G and D bands were dominant, which were found at 1300 cm^{-1} and 1600 cm^{-1} , respectively. The G bands of all chars were weaker than D bands. 10 bands were fitted



Fig. 3. Curve-fitting of a Raman spectrum of the char from steam gasification.

in Fig. 3, each of which is explained in the literatures [22,23]. There were six bands (D, G, G_R , V_L , V_R , and S) in the dominant positions. I_x was the area of band x, where x is D, G, G_R , V_L , V_R or S.

D band represents medium-to-large sized (≥ 6) aromatic ring systems, while *G* band represents the molecular vibration of aromatic quadrant ring breathing [23]. Therefore, the I_D/I_G ratio can represent the degree of aromatic ring growth. A decrease in I_D/I_G ratio indicates the growth of aromatic ring, i.e. the structure of the sample is closer to that of graphite. Fig. 4(a) shows that the I_D/I_G of the original petroleum coke decreased rapidly with char conversion increasing. It indicates that the aromatic ring grew during steam gasification of original petroleum coke, which may result from the dehydrogenation of hydro-aromatics and the growth of



Fig. 4. The band ratio changed with the increasing char conversion during steam gasification. (a) I_D/I_{G} , (b) $I_D/I_{(GR+VL+VR)}$ and (c) I_S/I .

aromatic rings during char gasification [23]. However, the I_D/I_G ratio of catalytic sample increased gently or remained unchanged during gasification reaction. It suggested that no obvious change in the amount of aromatic rings was found during the catalytic gasification. It can be inferred that the catalyst K₂CO₃ might reduce the formation of large aromatic rings during steam gasification.

The $G_{\rm R}$, $V_{\rm L}$ and $V_{\rm R}$ bands between the G bands and D bands (Fig. 3) represent the relatively small aromatic ring systems with 3–5 fused benzene rings. The *D* bands represent medium to large (≥ 6) aromatic ring systems. Therefore, the $I_D/I_{(GR+VL+VR)}$ ratio could reflect the proportion of big rings relative to small fused rings in chars from petroleum coke gasification [20,23]. Fig. 4(b) shows that the ratio $I_D/I_{(GR+VL+VR)}$ in the non-catalytic sample first increased in the wide range of char conversion and then decreased. It indicated that the small aromatic rings would tend to react with the steam or change to big ones. Tay, et al. [29,30] found that the smaller aromatic ring structures were more selectively consumed and/or converted into big ones in steam gasification. Steam could be dissociated into oxygen-containing species and separate hydrogen radical species which attached to neighboring sites on char surface [31]. Hydrogen radicals absorbed on the char surface may be rather active and able to penetrate into the char matrix [32,33]. Therefore, it may activate the inner structure of char, especially the aromatic ring structures, to induce the aromatic ring condensation (i.e. for the rings to grow) [29]. Compared with the decrease of I_D/I_G shown in Fig. 4(a), it was the preferential consumption of small aromatics that reacted with steam. In other words, the relatively small aromatic ring systems with 3-5 fused benzene rings represented by the G_R , V_L and V_R bands were the active sites reacting with the steam in the gasification of petroleum coke.

In addition, with the increase of char conversion in the catalytic sample, the small aromatic ring systems could no longer be consumed and the big aromatic ring systems started to dissociate, leading to the decrease of the $I_D/I_{(GR+VL+VR)}$ ratio. However, in the catalytic samples, the $I_D/I_{(GR+VL+VR)}$ ratio increased more slowly, suggesting that the catalyst K₂CO₃ can enhance the breakdown of the big aromatic ring systems into small ones. Therefore, the $I_{\rm D}/$ $I_{(CR+VI+VR)}$ ratio increased more slowly, while the small aromatic ring systems increased significantly, which would accelerate the gasification rate. According to previous literatures [12,14–16], the mechanism of steam gasification is that the char first forms the intermediate (C(0)) and (M-C-0) in the catalytic samples, and then the intermediate matter reacts with gasifying agent (steam). Therefore, it was easier for the small aromatic ring system to form the intermediate (C(O)) and (M-C-O) in the steam gasification of petroleum coke. The amount of intermediate (C(0)) and (M–C–O) increased as more active sites appeared, leading to an increase in the gasification rate.

The S bands represent sp³-rich structures such as alkyl-aryl C-C structures and methyl carbon dangling to an aromatic ring. The I_S/I ratio refers to the ratio of the S band peak area to the total peak area (I) between 800 cm^{-1} and 1800 cm^{-1} . Fig. 4(c) shows that the relative S band intensity decreased with char conversion increasing. These results suggested that the S band was where the active sites react with steam. In other words, the sp³-rich or sp²–sp³ mixed structures as alkyl–aryl C–C structures and methyl carbon dangling onto an aromatic ring were the active sites in petroleum coke steam gasification [22,23]. The I_S/I ratio was about 0.09 with 90% char conversion in the non-catalytic samples, but about 0.03 with 90% char conversion in the catalytic samples. This implies that the alkyl-aryl C-C structure and methyl carbon dangling onto an aromatic ring should have a concentration above 9% to react with steam in the non-catalytic gasification of petroleum coke. However, in the presence of K₂CO₃ catalysts, the concentration could be less than 3%.

3.2.2. Char crystallite property

XRD spectra for the different char conversions during steam gasification of petroleum coke are shown in Fig. 5. Two peaks are observed as 2θ at 25° and 43° , which correspond to the peaks 002 and 100 in diffuse graphite. The most prominent feature in XRD patterns in all samples is peak 002, which is generally attributed to the stacking of the graphitic basal plans of char crystallites. The high angle (about 43°) corresponds to peak 100, which is generally attributed to graphite-like atomic order within a single plane [34,35]. In XRD spectra for char particles, the broad peak 002 implies that petroleum coke char has a highly disordered structure. The fraction of disordered carbon includes amorphous carbon and aliphatic branched chains. The background intensity of the XRD spectrum is caused by amorphous carbon in the char. While peak 002 should be symmetric in theory, the apparent asymmetry of this peak is due to the presence of γ peak on its left side, which is associated with the packing of saturated structures such as aliphatic branched chains[36-38]. With the increase of char conversion, the (002) peak intensity of the XRD spectra for the original samples in Fig. 5(a) became stronger, and the (002) peak shape became more symmetric and sharper. It can be concluded that the content of amorphous carbon and aliphatic branched chains in char particle decreases and the degree of orientation of aromatic lamellae becomes higher, suggesting that the char structure becomes more ordered and aromatic. Therefore, the gasification rate is much lower in the original petroleum coke steam gasification. However, peak 002 for the catalytic samples in Fig. 5(b) became weaker and its shape became more symmetric and broader. It can be concluded that the content of aliphatic branched chains in



Fig. 5. XRD spectrum of different char conversion during steam gasification. (a) original sample and (b) with K_2CO_3 catalyst.

Table 4Characteristic parameters of XRD.

Sample	Carbon conversion(%)	d ₀₀₂ (nm)	L _c (nm)	$L_a(nm)$
Original	0	0.35	2.71	3.98
	10	0.35	1.82	4.50
	25	0.35	1.83	5.04
	54	0.35	1.85	5.09
	78	0.35	1.87	6.66
	93	0.35	1.93	6.37
Catalytic(K ₂ CO ₃)	27	0.35	1.66	5.67
	43	0.35	1.83	6.21
	55	0.35	1.76	6.90
	90	0.35	1.73	7.50

the char decreased and amorphous carbon with the char increased, and the degree of orientation of the aromatic lamellae became lower. In other words, the addition of K_2CO_3 reduced the degree of graphitization of petroleum coke.

The XRD patterns of the petroleum coke chars were also analyzed to obtain their structure parameters. The d₀₀₂ (interplanar distance of two aromatic layers of microcrystalline (nm)) remained essentially unchanged during steam gasification (Table 4). As the char conversion increased from 10% to 93% in the original samples, L_c (the thickness of microcrystalline (nm)) slightly increased from 1.81 nm to 1.92 nm (Table 4) due to the tendency of the defects of longitudinally aromatic layer to disappear. The longitudinally aromatic structure began to condense and distort, increasing the thickness of microcrystalline. This indicates that the char structure became more ordered and aromatic during the gasification of the original sample. However, L_c first increased and then decreased during the catalytic gasification process and was lower than for the original samples (Table 4). This might be attributed to the fact that in the catalytic process the longitudinally aromatic structure condensed and distorted, and occurred simultaneously with catalytic cracking. However, L_a (the microcrystalline diameter) increased during petroleum coke steam gasification with or without catalyst. It is expected that the growth of crystallites in chars was promoted with char conversion increasing, leading to the increase in crystallite size.

4. Conclusions

The gasification reactivity and the feature of char structural evolution in steam gasification of petroleum coke with and without catalysts were studied using TGA, Raman and XRD spectra. The conclusions can be drawn as follows:

- (1) Petroleum coke is very difficult to be gasified as temperature lower than 1000 °C, while catalyst promotes the gasification obviously. The following chloride catalysts were listed in decreasing order in terms of their catalytic effects: alkali metal (K) > alkaline earth metal (Ca) > transition metal (Fe) > Original sample. All K-based catalysts with different anions had a significant effect on the efficiency of the steam gasification, and all the gasification reactions were completed below 1000 °C.
- (2) The degree of aromatic ring growth of original samples increased rapidly during the process of petroleum coke steam gasification, but that of catalytic samples remained unchanged or decreased. The proportion of big rings increased more slowly in catalytic samples. K₂CO₃ catalyst can stimulate the breakdown of the big aromatic ring systems into small ones. K₂CO₃ catalysts can enhance the formation of active intermediates C(O) and M–C–O, increasing the gasification reactivity of petroleum coke.

(3) Petroleum coke chars have a highly disordered structure, which becomes stronger and its shape becomes more symmetric and sharper with char conversion increasing, while it becomes weaker and its shape becomes more symmetric and broad for the catalytic samples.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Priority Basic Research of China (2010CB227003) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (51376076, 51021065). The experiment was assisted by Analytical and Testing Center in Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074 China. The English writing was revised by Dr. Jingai Shao and Mr. Ho Simon Wang.

References

- Business intelligence network. The statistics data of petroleum coke in china. Retrieved on 29/2/2012 from http://www.askci.com/news/201202/29/299524251774.shtml>.
- [2] Li CZ. Special issue-gasification: a route to clean energy. Process Saf Environ Prot 2006;84:407–8.
- [3] Fu P, Hu S, Xiang J, et al. Evolution of char structure during steam gasification of the chars produced from rapid pyrolysis of rice husk. Bioresour Technol 2012;114:691–7.
- [4] Wu YQ, Wu SY, Gu J, et al. Differences in physical properties and CO₂ gasification reactivity between coal char and petroleum coke. Process Saf Environ Prot 2009;87(5):323–30.
- [5] Gu J, Wu SY, Zhang X, et al. CO₂-gasification reactivity of different carbonaceous materials at elevated temperatures. Energy Sources 2009;31(3):232–43.
- [6] Zhan XL, Zhou ZJ, Wang FC. Catalytic effect of black liquor on the gasification reactivity of petroleum coke. Appl Energy 2010;87(5):1710–5.
- [7] Zou JH, Yang BL, Gong KF, et al. Effect of mechanochemical treatment on petroleum coke–CO₂ gasification. Fuel 2008;87(6):622–7.
- [8] Furimsky E, Palmer A. Catalytic effect of lignite ash on steam gasification of oil sand coke. Appl Catal 1986;23(2):355–65.
- [9] Watkinson AP, Cheng G, Fung DPC. Gasification of oil sands coke. Fuel 1989;68(1):4–10.
- [10] Karimi A, Semagina N, Gray MR. Kinetics of catalytic steam gasification of bitumen coke. Fuel 2011;90(3):1285–91.
- [11] Karimi A, Gray MR. Effectiveness and mobility of catalysts for gasification of bitumen coke. Fuel 2011;90(1):120–5.
- [12] Wu YQ, Wang JJ, Wu SY, et al. Potassium-catalyzed steam gasification of petroleum coke for H₂ production: reactivity, selectivity and gas release. Fuel Process Technol 2011;92(3):523–30.
- [13] Wang J, Jiang MQ, Yao YH, et al. Steam gasification of coal char catalyzed by K₂CO₃ for enhanced production of hydrogen without formation of methane. Fuel 2009;88(9):1572–9.
- [14] Yuh SJ, Wolf EE. FTIR studies of potassium catalyst-treated gasified coal chars and carbons. Fuel 1983;62(2):252–5.
- [15] McKee DW. Mechanisms of the alkali metal catalysed gasification of carbon. Fuel 1983;62(2):170–5.
- [16] Freriks ILC, VanWechem HMH, Freriks JCM. Potassium-catalysed gasification of carbon with steam: a temperature-programmed desorption and Fourier Transform infrared study. Fuel 1981;60(6):463–70.
- [17] Oboirien BO, Engelbrecht AD, North BC, et al. Study on the structure and gasification characteristics of selected South African bituminous coals in fluidised bed gasification. Fuel Process Technol 2011;92(4):735–42.
- [18] Cetin E, Moghtaderi B, Gupta R, et al. Influence of pyrolysis conditions on the structure and gasification reactivity of biomass chars. Fuel 2004;83(16):2139–50.
- [19] Zhang S, Min ZH, Tay HL, et al. Effects of volatile–char interactions on the evolution of char structure during the gasification of Victorian brown coal in steam. Fuel 2011;90(4):1529–35.
- [20] Tay HL, Li CZ. Changes in char reactivity and structure during the gasification of a Victorian brown coal: comparison between gasification in O₂ and CO₂. Fuel Process Technol 2010;91(8):800–4.
- [21] Asadullah M, Zhang S, Min Z-H, et al. Effects of biomass char structure on its gasification reactivity. Bioresour Technol 2010;101:7935–43.
- [22] Li XJ, Li JI, Li CZ. Volatilisation and catalytic effects of alkali and alkaline earth metallic species during the pyrolysis and gasification of Victorian brown coal. Part VII. Raman spectroscopic study on the changes in char structure during the catalytic gasification in air. Fuel 2006;85(10–11):1509–17.
- [23] Li XJ, Li JI, Li CZ. FT-Raman spectroscopic study of the evolution of char structure during the pyrolysis of a Victorian brown coal. Fuel 2006;85(12– 13):1700–7.
- [24] Tuinstra F, Koenig J. Raman spectrum of graphite. J Chem Phys 1970;53:1126–30.

- [25] Zou JH, Zhou ZJ, Wang FC, et al. Modeling reaction kinetics of petroleum coke gasification with CO₂. Chem Eng Process 2007;46(7):630–6.
- [26] Wang J, Yao YH, Cao JQ, et al. Enhanced catalysis of K₂CO₃ for steam gasification of coal char by using Ca(OH)₂ in char preparation. Fuel 2010;89(2):310–7.
- [27] Lang RJ. Anion effects in alkali-catalysed steam gasificaiton. Fuel 1986;65: 1324-9.
- [28] Saber JM, Kester KB, Falconer JL, et al. A mechanism for sodium oxide catalyzed CO₂ gasification of carbon. J Catal 1988;109(2):329–46.
- [29] Tay HL, Kajitani S, Zhang S, et al. Effects of gasifying agent on the evolution of char structure during the gasification of Victorian brown coal. Fuel 2013;103: 22–8.
- [30] Guo X, Tay HL, Zhang S, et al. Changes in char structure during the gasification of a Victorian brown coal in steam and oxygen at 800 °C. Energy Fuels 2008;22(6):4034–8.
- [31] Espinal JF, Mondragón F, Truong TN. Thermodynamic evaluation of steam gasification mechanisms of carbonaceous materials. Carbon 2009;47(13): 3010–8.
- [32] Keown DM, Hayashi JI, Li CZ. Drastic changes in biomass char structure and reactivity upon contact with steam. Fuel 2008;87(7):1127–32.

- [33] Li CZ. Some recent advances in the understanding of the pyrolysis and gasification behaviour of Victorian brown coal. Fuel 2007;86(12–13): 1664–83.
- [34] Machado ADS, Mexias AS, Vilela ACF, et al. Study of coal, char and coke fines structures and their proportions in the off-gas blast furnace samples by X-ray diffraction. Fuel 2013;114:224–28.
- [35] Guerrero M, Ruiz MP, Millera A, et al. Characterization of biomass chars formed under different devolatilization conditions: differences between rice husk and eucalyptus. Energy Fuels 2008;22(2):1275–84.
- [36] Lu LM, Kong CH, Sahajwalla V, et al. Char structural ordering during pyrolysis and combustion and its influence on char reactivity. Fuel 2002;81(9):1215–25.
- [37] Shevkoplyas VN, Saranchuk VI. The impregnation effect on low and middle rank coals structure reorganization and their behavior during pyrolysis. Fuel 2000;79(5):557–65.
- [38] Shim HS, Hurt RH, Yang NYC. A methodology for analysis of 002 lattice fringe images and its application to combustion-derived carbons. Carbon 2000;38(1): 29–45.